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Abstract

For the last few years, a rise has been observed in research activity in Service Oriented Architectures, with applications in different sectors. Several new technologies have been introduced and even more are being currently researched and aimed at the future. In this paper we present and analyze some of the most influential approaches from a software engineer's point of view that belong either to the academic or to the industrial field. Despite their differences though, all of these approaches share a service oriented mentality, with the purpose of lessening the issues of clients and companies, students and teachers, citizens and government employees alike. Lastly, we discuss our findings from the comparison and present possible new research opportunities for the immediate future.
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1. Introduction

Service Oriented Architectures is a relatively new branch of the Software Engineering field. The importance of SOA applications for the highly evolved and technology-based societies of today is apparent. The service centric approach has a number of benefits compared to other methodologies, such as the ability of services to function as self-contained and reusable units that can be located and executed on demand [28]. It is considered to be the new paradigm for developing flexible and dynamic software solutions by using loose coupling of services with diverse operating systems, programming languages and other components of various platforms. The integration of these interoperable services around a business process allows systems development in environments that have to continuously change and adapt to new circumstances. We hope that we will also be able to contribute to this effort with the SAS-LEG\(^1\) project.

In order to achieve this kind of flexibility and customization to almost every situation and the ever-changing needs of the customers/users, a characteristic which is highly requested in all the fields that we analyze, we are obliged to improve and evolve the methodologies of the past such as Component Based Development (CBD) [26] and Object Orientation (OO) [10]. Moreover, we have to concentrate on discovering new ways and constructing new sets of tenets to abide by for developing software systems that will be compatible with the open world assumption and thus able to perform even in the most competitive real-life environments [5]. This survey is not exhaustive as can be seen from the number of the described approaches. An effort was made to include frameworks that are representative of the field and at the same time have a sufficient amount of information available. Lastly, we would like to clarify that currently there are a lot of disciplines that are related to SOA and use various names, such as Service-Centric Systems or Software as Service. In this paper we don’t exclude these approaches, instead we study them and refer to all of them as Service Oriented Architectures. We approach this from a software engineer’s point of view by including and using information such as lifecycle, processes, activities and workflows. Moreover the analysis is made with an emphasis on the academic characteristics of the selected SOA frameworks.

This paper is structured as follows. Firstly, in section 2 we reflect upon the various solutions proposed in the SOA area and present their characteristics. Thereafter we perform a comparison, refer to the benefits and drawbacks of each approach and their possible applications in different environments. Finally, we discuss the current state of af-

\(^1\)SAS-LEG, Software As Service for the varying needs of Local e-Governments is a research project that proposes to use the Software as Service (SaS) principle in order to implement the law once and offer it as a customizable service to several municipalities (http://www.sas-leg.net).
fairs in the fields of our concern (such as e-Commerce, e-Learning, e-Government) and provide an estimation of the impact of these technologies on the events of the future.

2. Presentation of existing and emerging SOA methods and technologies

One of the projects concentrated on the service oriented methodology is Service Centric System Engineering (SeCSE)\(^2\). It is a European Union funded project that attempts to set the basis for the service centric approach. SeCSE tries to achieve this goal by creating the necessary tools and methods for the systems integrators and providers alike, while at the same time improving the cost efficiency of the development process [1]. There are some vital research results that apply to the entire SeCSE project and provide the necessary framework for the functionality of the more specified technologies [4]. One of these results is an effort to present a common conceptual model and a standardized language to describe the disciplines involved [16], which is essential for an effective communication of the researchers that participate in the project. Moreover, these results can be used outside the scope of the project with a certain amount of adaptation to the possible service oriented scenarios.

Other than that, the area of research was divided into four main active directions, which we are presenting next. The first sector of SeCSE is the service engineering direction which is concentrated on the specifications of the various services [22] and the testing methods used to ascertain their quality. The underlying issue here is that many of the established methods and tools used for the determination of the Quality of Service (QoS) property of a software system do not work in the case of services [14]. Therefore a new approach was proposed by the project in the form of test cases [11] and at the same time an effort took place in order to improve QoS description [18] and dependability [17]. In the service discovery branch of the project, the team proposed a supporting framework for the run-time service discovery [30] and also a search engine was developed with the ability to determine the best service for a high-level business model [1]. The next sector is the sector of system engineering and is considered to be the centre of the entire project [1]. In this strand the focus was made on the QoS-Aware Service Composition, in order to solve the re-planning problem with real time services and various solutions were presented [12] [15] [13]. Finally, the service delivery sector dealt with the monitoring and the correct delivery of services. Some of the research results of this field include Self-healing Services Compositions [8], Requirement Monitoring [24] [25], Smart Monitoring [7], Non-Intrusive [29] and Dynamic [9] Monitoring in various situations.

Another proposed by the academic community project was Service-Oriented Development In a Unified fraMework (SODIUM)\(^3\), which involved international research, technological and industrial partners. It started in 2004 and finished in 2007. The project was focused on the unified discovery and composition of heterogeneous service types, by providing the necessary languages and software tools [2]. There are many heterogeneous types of services, such as web, grid and p2p services and the potential in combining these services was not being used fully. That was happening due to the inability of the existing service discovery mechanisms to handle heterogeneous service types, registries, networks and the various service description protocols. SODIUM proposes as a solution a set of models, languages (such as a Unified Service Query Language (USQL) which is an XML based language), middleware and other tools (such as the USQL search engine which is mainly used for querying) [31].

In their paper, Papazoglou et al [27] examine a service development methodology from the point of view of both providers and consumers, which attempts to cover the full SOA lifecycle. It is partly based on well-established development models, such as the RUP (Rational Unified Process), CBD, and BPM (Business Process Management). The methodology utilizes an iterative and incremental process that comprises one preparatory and eight distinct main phases. These are planning, Analysis and Design (A&D), construction and testing, provisioning, deployment, execution and monitoring (Figure 1). The planning phase is used in order to organise all the stages of the methodology. The

\(^2\)http://www.secse-project.eu/

\(^3\)http://www.atc.gr/sodium
analysis phase is based on a business case analysis which is used to decide the business processes that will be implemented. Service construction and testing includes coding the business processes that were selected and testing the services for functionality. The service-provisioning includes service rating and service billing. The last stage performs the deployment and the monitoring of the services. This approach is called Service Development Lifecycle Methodology (SDLM) and the iteration technique it employs allows the developers to improve their project in a manner of repeatable and predictable steps. Due to this multi step logic, the adaptability of the approach improves to a large degree.

Finally, the last academic related approach we are analyzing here is Service Oriented Architecture Framework (SOAF), which focuses around the business process with the goal to make the design and development of SOA easier [21]. The framework is a combination of five main phases: information elicitation, service identification, service definition, service realization, and roadmap and planning. The framework encompasses methods and techniques used to identify and model services and also to find services granularity [23]. From a different perspective we have a method which is extensively used in the industry, the Service-Oriented Modeling and Architecture (SOMA), which is an IBM Methodology for analysis and design [6]. It consists of three steps: identification, specification, and realization of services, business processes, and components realizing services. The process is highly iterative and incremental. A graphical representation of the process can be seen in Figure 2. The description of the steps of the process is below:

- **Service Identification**

  1. Domain decomposition, which consists of the decomposition of the business domain into its functional areas and subsystems, including its flow decomposition into processes and high-level business use cases.

  2. Existing system analysis, in this step existing systems are analyzed and selected as possible candidates for improving the cost of the implementation of service functionality that supports the business process.

  3. Goal-service modeling, used to validate and discover other services not selected by the previous service identification approaches.

- **Service classification or categorization**

  This activity is started when services have been identified. Classification helps determine the composition and the layering structure.

  1. Subsystem analysis, which takes the subsystems found above during domain decomposition and specifies the interdependencies and interactions between them.

  2. Component specification. In the next major activity, the details of the component that implement the services are specified.

- **Service realization**

  This step regulates the options for the software that implements a given service (selected, custom built or partly outsourced). Other realization decisions such as various business functionalities, security and monitoring of services also happen during this step.

  1. Service allocation is responsible for assigning services to the subsystems that have been identified so far.

Since the SOMA method was proposed, a significant amount of research was done in various fields that expanded and improved the original idea [32].

A specific method including various rules and structures for engineering an enterprise IT architecture according to SOA paradigm was proposed by G.Engels et al. [20]. Firstly, the framework is divided to business and IT aspect areas with the business area including the Modelling business services activity and the Business services entity which is connected to IT activities Designing domains, Designing components and Designing interfaces. These activities produce the analogous entities such as Domains, Components and Interfaces. Modelling business services consists of a number of steps:

- Identify top-level business services (such as plan, purchase, produce, sell and service).

- Identify service actions (such as the actions of the service sell: compose travel, book travel, and transact payment, which are also finer-grained services).
Table 1. Comparison of SOA methodologies (*next stages in planning).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Lifecycle</th>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Adaptability</th>
<th>Industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECSE</td>
<td>multi-sided service specs</td>
<td>BPEL-like service composition</td>
<td>A&amp;D</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SODIUM</td>
<td>UML models with constraints</td>
<td>graphical composition language</td>
<td>full</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>case study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLM</td>
<td>based on the business process</td>
<td>incremental development module</td>
<td>full</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAF</td>
<td>based on the business process</td>
<td>methodology framework</td>
<td>A&amp;D</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMA</td>
<td>component based (partially on RUP,BPM)</td>
<td>business process flows</td>
<td>A&amp;D</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.Engels et al.</td>
<td>UML models with constraints</td>
<td>business process flows</td>
<td>A&amp;D</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBID-SAE</td>
<td>process units</td>
<td>methodology framework</td>
<td>full</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Refine business services, which happens when certain conditions are met (e.g. when there are multiple service providers and business goals or when a suitable level of granularity is reached through the iteration of the two previous steps).
- Specify business services, where they are specified like use cases.

A development process that covers both the development of business architecture as well as the appropriate software architecture also has been proposed [19].

Another interesting industrial approach is being developed by the CBID Forum. It is a SOA methodology that is a part of their CBID-SAE SOA Reference Framework [3]. The four key discipline areas of the process are:

- Consume
  In this discipline, software solutions are created that are focused on the consumption of services and through that we gain better understanding of business requirements, processes and ultimately products.

- Provide
  In this sector, the identification and the design of services takes place, after that there is the planning and provisioning of services and finally their implementation. Of course, all of the steps are based on service specifications.

- Manage
  Here they discover the differences between the existing and the wanted SOA attributes and afterwards design the process that makes an effort to implement the missing attributes.

- Enable
  A platform is created that supports the implementation of the services and their run-time monitoring and even changing if it is required.

Each area groups similar disciplines that are further broken down to process units and then to tasks. This methodology aims at business-IT integration through top-down analysis of business requirements as well as bottom-up legacy system integration. The CBID-SAE process aims to include SOA deployment, monitoring, and governance activities.

3. Comparative analysis of the Software Oriented Architectures

In this section we make an effort to present and compare the SOA we analyzed earlier. In order to support this goal we will use the below criteria:

- Service description, which is the way used by the approach to describe the relative services.
- Behaviour specification is the representation used by the approach to describe its behaviour.
- Lifecycle coverage, that shows what stages of the lifecycle methodology the approach covers [27].
- Detail of the approach is the degree of detail (e.g. stages, tasks, deliverables) provided by the approach.
- Adaptability of the approach is the ability of the approach to be flexible if any changes of the requirements or other parameters occur.
- Industrial applications show if there are any industrial case studies for the specific approach.

The above criteria were used in order to provide an image of the various characteristics of the chosen approaches from an academic point of view. For this reason, approaches without the needed amount of academic information background (such as the ones provided by suppliers like SAP and Oracle) were considered out of scope for this paper. This is also true for the industrial comparison criteria such as the cost of the implementation, the level of readiness in the organization and the suppliers that could support the research result.

We would like to make some observations about the methodologies we described in the previous section. Two of them propose language structures in order to represent and describe various sectors of their work. SECSE offers a general conceptual model that describes actors, activities, entities and the interactions between them [16]. It’s goal is to provide some common ground for the rest of the project and possibly for others projects as well since because of the model’s implementation it can be adapted rather easily. In order to portray the model UML based diagrams are utilized.
4. Conclusions and Outlook

In this paper we presented an overview of the current service oriented engineering approaches and techniques. We believe they can be highly useful and effective for fields such as e-Government, e-Commerce and e-Learning by providing the necessary structures and tools to support these complex and demanding environments. Based upon these approaches, advanced software systems can be created that will offer the right degree of automation and control at the same time. The need for these systems will only rise as the size and the requirements for services in the fields we mentioned increase. Therefore it is essential to prepare for such a likely event.

We can observe that the current SOA implementations are a mix and adaptation of the earlier approaches such as CBD, OO, BPM and processes like RUP. Of course every approach we examined, chooses its own path to achieving the goals set before it, through the proposed innovation techniques and methodologies. While that shows that there are still a lot of possibilities for the growth of the SOA research field, at the same time it identifies a lingering issue. The approaches do not seem to share some common ground that could be used in the future to create a unified approach, as it happened earlier with RUP or UML. In order to support such a unification, firstly there should be created a satisfying number of widely excepted standards, models, patterns and language terms which would enable a more streamlined communication between the research groups. That would lead to more opportunities for joint projects. Thus, our opinion is that the maturity and effectiveness of the approaches we examined could vastly improve in the next few years. Moreover, as we can see from Table 1, some of the approaches still lack industrial application. Thus we believe that there is room for improvement and so a more active development of specific real-life projects or case studies and an improved tool support would greatly enrich the SOA domain.
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